

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

VIACOM INTERNATIONAL INC., COMEDY)	
PARTNERS, COUNTRY MUSIC)	
TELEVISION, INC., PARAMOUNT)	
PICTURES CORPORATION, and BLACK)	
ENTERTAINMENT TELEVISION LLC,)	
Plaintiffs,)	
vs.)	Case No. 1:07CV02103
)	
YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC,)	
and GOOGLE, INC.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
<hr/>)	
THE FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION PREMIER)	
LEAGUE LIMITED, BOURNE CO., et al.,)	
on behalf of themselves and all)	
others similarly situated,)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
vs.)	Case No. 07CV3582
)	
YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC, and)	
GOOGLE, INC.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
<hr/>)	

DEPOSITION OF PATRICK WALKER

SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

TUESDAY, JULY 22, 2008

REPORTED BY:

YVONNE FENNELLY, CRP, CSR NO. 5495

JOB NO. 15375

JULY 22, 2008

10:00 a.m.

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF PATRICK WALKER,
held at the offices of SHEARMAN & STERLING,
525 Market Street, San Francisco, California,
pursuant to notice, before YVONNE FENNELLY, CRP,
CSR License No. 5495.

A P P E A R A N C E S

FOR THE LEAD PLAINTIFFS AND PROSPECTIVE CLASS:

PROSKAUER ROSE, LLP
By: HAL S. SHAFTEL, Attorney at Law
1585 Broadway
New York, N.Y. 10036-8299
(212) 969-3230
(212) 969-2900
hshaftel@proskauer.com

FOR THE PLAINTIFF VIACOM INTERNATIONAL, INC.:

JENNER & BLOCK, LLP
By: SARAH A. MAGUIRE, Attorney at Law
1099 New York Avenue, NW
Suite 900
Washington, DC 20001
(202) 639-6000
(202) 661-4916
smaguire@jenner.com

FOR THE CLASS PLAINTIFFS:

LIEFF, CABRASER, HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP
By: DAVID S. STELLINGS, Attorney at Law
780 Third Avenue
48th Floor
New York, New York 10017-2024
(212) 355-9500
(212) 355-9592
dstellings@lchb.com

1 APPEARANCES (Continued):

2
3 FOR THE DEFENDANTS YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC and
4 GOOGLE, INC.:

5 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
6 By: BART E. VOLKMER, Esq.
7 650 Page Mill Road
8 Palo Alto, California 94304-1050
9 (650) 493-9300
10 bvolkmer@wsgr.com

11
12 FOR THE DEFENDANTS YOUTUBE, INC., YOUTUBE, LLC and
13 GOOGLE, INC.:

14 MAYER BROWN, LLP
15 BY: MATTHEW INGBER, Attorney at Law
16 1675 Broadway
17 New York, New York 10019
18 (212) 506-2500

19
20 FOR GOOGLE, INC.:

21 GOOGLE, INC.
22 BY: ADAM L. BAREA, Litigation Counsel
23 1600 Amphitheatre Parkway
24 Mountain View, California 94043
25 (650) 214-4879
(650) 618-1806
Adambarea@google.com

ALSO PRESENT: Lou Meadows, Videographer

--oOo--

1

2 10:10 a shake or a nod of the head, it will make for a clearer

3 10:10 record and help Ms. Fennelly out as she prepares the

4 10:10 transcript.

5 10:10 Does that all make sense to you?

6 10:10 A. Yes, it does.

7 10:10 Q. What is your present position of employment?

8 10:10 A. I'm the director of video partnerships for

9 10:10 Google and YouTube, based in London, England.

10 10:10 Q. And you say you're based in London, England.

11 10:10 Do your responsibilities as director of video

12 10:10 partnerships extend to a particular geographic area?

13 10:10 A. Yes. They extend to Europe, Middle East and

14 10:10 Africa.

15 10:10 Q. And how long have you had that position?

16 10:10 A. I'm been employed by Google since January of

17 10:10 2006 and took on responsibility for Google Video at the

18 10:11 time. At the time I was employed that extended to

19 10:11 YouTube after the acquisition at the end of 2006.

20 10:11 Q. That was Google's acquisition of YouTube?

21 10:11 A. That's correct.

22 10:11 Q. If you could briefly described your educational

23 10:11 background after high school, at least as that term, if

24 10:11 you're familiar, that is used in US jargon?

25 A. Yes. I went to the University of Southern

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

11:07 Q. Do you know if it was eventually

11:07 commercialized, VIIV?

11:07 A. Not that I'm aware of.

11:07 Q. And you left in 2005 to go to Google, you said?

11:07 A. No. It was -- I gave my notice at the end of

11:07 2005. I began my role at Google in January -- I think

11:07 January 16th, 2006.

11:07 Q. And I believe you testified that you were head

11:08 of video content partnerships; is that right?

11:08 A. I was head of content partnerships here, in the

11:08 Middle East, and Africa for Google when I was employed.

11:08 Q. You were at Middle East and Africa. I

11:08 sometimes see the acronym EMEA; is that right?

11:08 A. That's correct.

11:08 Q. Were there other heads of content partnerships

11:08 when you began in January 2006 for other geographic

11:08 regions?

11:08 A. There was someone responsible for content

11:08 partnerships in North America.

11:08 Q. Was that David Eun, E-u-n?

11:08 A. No; this was prior to Dave Eun joining Google.

11:09 It was Jennifer Feiken.

11:09 Q. Did you report through -- up through the

content, the head of content for North America or were

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

12:00 BY MR. SHAFTEL:

12:00 Q. Now, I want to get back, when you write that,

12:00 "I'm sure this is the tip of the iceberg" --

12:00 A. Uh-huh.

12:00 Q. -- did you follow-up with anyone at Google

12:00 Video to try to determine whether there was more to the

12:01 iceberg than these six items?

12:01 A. I remember having conversations about how we

12:01 were to deal with content that might be unauthorized on

12:01 the surface.

12:01 Q. I'm referring specifically here to Channel 4.

12:01 A. Uh-huh.

12:01 Q. Channel 4 said that there is some unauthorized

12:01 material.

12:01 In your mind, at this point you're writing,

12:01 "I'm sure this is the tip of the iceberg." And you

12:01 testified you understand the importance of controlling

12:01 content rights to the content owner.

12:01 A. Right.

12:01 Q. So I want to know what you recall doing, if

12:01 anything, to investigate how much more of the iceberg

12:01 there was beyond these six items.

12:01 MR. INGBER: Asked and answered.

THE WITNESS: I was interested in understanding

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

12:02 at this early time in particular how we were screening
12:02 for and then responding to content that might be
12:02 considered unauthorized.

12:02 BY MR. SHAFTEL:

12:02 Q. Tell me in, I guess, January of 2006, what
12:02 measures Google Video implemented to protect against
12:02 unauthorized copyrighted material appearing on the site?

12:02 MR. INGBER: Object to form; lacks foundation.

12:02 THE WITNESS: Can you rephrase that?

12:02 BY MR. SHAFTEL:

12:02 Q. Sure.

12:02 When you began at Google Video in the January
12:02 time period, a period when you wrote Exhibit 1 about
12:02 Channel 4's complaints, what did you understand Google
12:03 Video was doing to protect against unauthorized content
12:03 appearing on the Google Video site?

12:03 A. It was still quite early to my beginnings
12:03 there, and I had not spent time yet back in Mountain
12:03 View, but it was my understanding that for a variety of
12:03 reasons, including screening for adult material, violent
12:03 material, potentially unauthorized material, there was a
12:03 team that did review content before it was made live on
12:03 the site.

Q. Okay. So before content was available for

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

12:03 access on the Google Video site, human beings were
12:04 reviewing the content?

12:04 A. Human beings had a -- my understanding is they
12:04 had a view through thumbnail images of content that was
12:04 submitted that they would approve or reject prior to its
12:04 going live.

12:04 Q. Where were the individuals based?

12:04 A. At the time they were based in Mountain View,
12:04 California.

12:04 Q. You say, "at the time."

12:04 Did that change at any point in time?

12:04 A. At a later point in time there were people
12:04 employed in Dublin to also provide some review of
12:04 material.

12:04 Q. Do you know how many people in Mountain View --
12:04 Mountain View is the headquarters for Google; is that
12:04 right?

12:04 A. Correct.

12:04 Q. Do you know how many people were employed to
12:05 review thumbnail contents before it went live on the
12:05 site?

12:05 A. No, I don't know how many.

12:05 Q. Any rough magnitude? Fewer than 20, more than
20?

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

12:05 A. I think there were fewer than 20.

12:05 Q. Do you know how many hours a day content was
12:05 being reviewed as part of that process?

12:05 A. I recall it was a certain number of people's
12:05 full-time job to review the content, and that was
12:05 primarily done during working hours.

12:05 Q. And the number of those individuals you're not
12:05 sure about?

12:05 A. I'm not sure how many there were.

12:05 Q. And you testified that that team was expanded
12:05 to include individuals in Dublin; is that right?

12:05 A. Correct.

12:05 Q. Dublin, Ireland; is that right?

12:06 A. That's right.

12:06 Q. And when and why is that?

12:06 A. I don't remember the exact date, but it was
12:06 done in anticipation of our localization of Google Video
12:06 to Europe.

12:06 Q. Meaning there would be sites in Europe that
12:06 would have content specific for the given geographic
12:06 location?

12:06 A. We had a plan, and one of my responsibilities
12:06 was to help develop this plan, to localize Google Video
in several European countries. And my responsibility

1

2 12:06 was specifically to make sure that we were engaging with
3 12:06 content partners in all of these markets. And as part
4 12:06 of that, we employed people, or I would say maybe
5 12:06 reassigned people, because there was already a large
6 12:06 team of people in Dublin for Google operations, to be
7 12:06 trained on the tools in languages that were specific to
8 12:06 the countries in which we would launch.

9 12:07 Q. And, in fact, in the spring of '06 you --

10 12:07 "you," meaning Google Video -- hired three individuals
11 12:07 to work in Dublin; is that right?

12 12:07 A. I don't know how many were hired. I was not
13 12:07 responsible for operations. I know that people were
14 12:07 employed.

15 12:07 Q. Would these individuals in Dublin be known as
16 12:07 the Dublin vidiots?

17 12:07 A. That was the term we used, vidiots.

18 12:07 Q. Who was the team in the US? Do you know who
19 12:07 was in charge of supervising and overseeing that team?

20 12:07 A. I believe it was Bhanu.

21 12:07 Q. Do you know how long -- do you know -- did you
22 12:07 ask about any statistics, how many videos they -- and
23 12:07 let's focus on '06 up through the YouTube acquisition.

24 12:07 A. Okay.

25 Q. Did you ask about any statistics, how many

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

12:07 videos those individuals would review, how long it would
12:07 take between uploading and the review process?

12:08 MR. INGBER: Objection.

12:08 THE WITNESS: I remember having that
12:08 conversation. I have no idea what the actual numbers
12:08 were at this time. I do know there was quite a lag,
12:08 though, between upload and going live, which was due to
12:08 this review process.

12:08 BY MR. SHAFTEL:

12:08 Q. And when you say a lag, what magnitude of time
12:08 period are you talking about? A couple hours, couple of
12:08 days?

12:08 A. I think depending on the time of the week, it
12:08 could be a couple hours, it could be a couple days.
12:08 After the weekend it would take -- I think things would
12:08 build up on the weekend and they would have to then
12:08 review a larger collection of videos prior to them being
12:08 made live. This was a constant complaint for users,
12:08 actually, that there was a large lag.

12:08 You would upload something and it would go into
12:08 this, from their perspective, kind of silent period of
12:08 is it going live or not, and then it would appear or
12:08 not.

Q. You testified that you didn't know the number

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

12:09 of individuals in Dublin that were reviewing videos
12:09 beginning in the spring of '06; is that right?

12:09 A. I don't know exactly how many people were
12:09 employed.

12:09 Q. I had suggested three.

12:09 Does that number seem incorrect to you?

12:09 A. It seems to be in the range.

12:09 Remember, I mean, Google was growing very

12:09 quickly. When I was employed, there were 4,000

12:09 employees. Now there is probably 20,000 employees. So

12:09 even if I were to pinpoint a specific time, you know, it

12:09 might have been different the week after. But I think

12:09 that number is in the range.

12:09 Q. Now, you said you weren't in charge of
12:09 operations. Who was in charge of the Dublin operations?

12:09 A. There was someone named William Kipp who was
12:09 overseeing a number of the operations there, and he had
12:09 someone on his team named Toffi Dawson who was
12:09 specifically working with that team.

12:09 Q. Do you know the training that -- do you know
12:10 the training that either the reviewers in Mountain View
12:10 or the reviewers in Dublin received with respect to
12:10 copyright issues?

A. I don't know the training specifically. I know

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

12:10 they did receive training.

12:10 It was -- it was, in my understanding, part of
12:10 a broader training as to things to look out for in
12:10 looking at thumbnails that might be against our
12:10 policies, violent, pornographic, or potentially -- to
12:10 some extent, potentially unauthorized content.

12:10 Q. And what do you understand the reviewers to
12:10 have relied on -- or strike that.

12:11 How do you understand the reviewers would go
12:11 about determining whether certain content appeared to
12:11 violate copyright or not?

12:11 MR. INGBER: Object to form.

12:11 THE WITNESS: Can you rephrase that, please?

12:11 BY MR. SHAFTEL:

12:11 Q. Sure.

12:11 You said that they reviewed thumbnails of
12:11 content?

12:11 A. Yes.

12:11 Q. What do you mean by "thumbnails," just to have
12:11 it on the record.

12:11 A. I went to have a look one time, and what I
12:11 recall is that on a screen there would be a series of
12:11 thumbnails that would be representative of the video.

They wouldn't actually watch the video; they would see a

1
2 12:11 series of thumbnails that would be representative of
3 12:11 videos across the length of that video. The number of
4 12:11 thumbnails, I think, was the same regardless of whether
5 12:11 the video was a minute long or ten minutes long or
6 12:11 however long, and they would, from my understanding, be
7 12:11 trained to look out for things that were obviously very
8 12:11 easy to identify such as, you know, pornographic
9 12:11 material or scenes of violence.

10 12:11 If there was a question as to whether or not it
11 12:11 was against our policies, then it would be passed to
12 12:11 another bucket for review.

13 12:12 With regard to potentially unauthorized
14 12:12 content, it was very spotty. It was interesting. One
15 12:12 of my concerns at the beginning was that it was quite US
16 12:12 centric, and I understand that they were looking for
17 12:12 certain things that were popular programs from US
18 12:12 broadcasters.

19 12:12 MR. SHAFTEL: We'll mark the next document as
20 12:12 Exhibit 2, Walker exhibit that starts at G154578.

21 12:12 (Document marked Exhibit No. 2
22 12:12 for identification.)

23 12:13 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

24 12:13 BY MR. SHAFTEL:

25 Q. I'd ask, Mr. Walker, if you could review that

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

12:32 Bates No. 313442.

12:32 (Document marked Exhibit No. 3

12:33 for identification.)

12:33 BY MR. SHAFTEL:

12:33 Q. I'd ask, Mr. Walker, for you to review this

12:33 document, and I have a few questions about it. It's

12:33 actually two e-mails that appear on this page.

12:34 Mr. Anderson writes to you on Sunday,

12:34 March 5th, 2006 -- first off, who is Ethan Anderson?

12:34 A. International business product manager. So he

12:34 was the international product manager on Google Video.

12:34 Q. What in essence does the international product

12:34 manager do? What areas fall within that person's

12:34 responsibilities?

12:34 A. The international products manager was

12:34 responsible for the development of the product for the

12:34 purposes of localization, in this case, in Europe. But

12:34 he was also looking after the international development

12:34 plan of the product as a whole.

12:34 Q. And as head of content partnership, you were

12:35 trying to -- your responsibilities involved finding

12:35 counterparty content holders to provide content for

12:35 these local sites, European sites?

A. Right. The content, when it was submitted,

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

03:08 quite -- it's a description that's quite technical. I
03:08 don't know at the time what that was specifically
03:08 referring to.

03:08 We were looking for ways to create a more
03:08 automated process by which we could detect content that
03:09 would help in the review process.

03:09 Q. And did anything happen in this respect over
03:09 the next one to three months that you're aware of,
03:09 April, May, June or thereabouts in 2006?

03:09 A. I don't recall.

03:09 (Document marked Exhibit No. 9
03:09 for identification.)

03:09 BY MR. SHAFTEL:

03:10 Q. Exhibit 9, Document 313463, the bottom portion
03:10 of which, Mr. Walker, is an e-mail from you to Hunter
03:10 Walk.

03:10 A. Uh-huh.

03:10 Q. In what position did Mr. Walk have at this
03:10 point, March 11, 2006?

03:10 A. He was one of the product managers at Google
03:10 Video.

03:10 Q. What responsibilities did he have?

03:10 A. He was responsible for a number of developments
on the product, including the tools for review of

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

03:10 content.

03:10 Q. Do you know whether he reported to Mr. Anderson
03:10 or vice versa?

03:11 A. No, he did not report to Mr. Anderson or vice
03:11 versa.

03:11 Q. Do you know who Mr. Walk reported to?

03:11 A. I don't know who he reported to at the time.

03:11 Q. Where was he based?

03:11 A. Mountain View.

03:11 Q. And you're providing Mr. Walk here a list of
03:11 certain sports-related information, including e-mail
03:11 addresses; is that right?

03:11 A. I'm sorry?

03:11 Q. You're providing Mr. Walk certain
03:11 sports-related information concerning the names, the
03:11 e-mail, the websites for various sports entities; is
03:11 that right?

03:11 A. This is a list of various sports names and
03:11 website URL's.

03:11 Q. How come you're providing it to Mr. Walk?

03:12 MR. INGBER: Take your time to review the
03:12 document.

03:12 THE WITNESS: This was part of a process when
we were looking to provide a means of matching based on

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

06:20 competitor of Google Video?

06:20 MR. INGBER: Object to form to the extent it

06:20 mischaracterizes Mr. Walker's testimony.

06:20 BY MS. MAGUIRE:

06:20 Q. Was YouTube a primary competitor?

06:20 A. YouTube --

06:20 MR. INGBER: Object to form. The word

06:20 "primary" is vague.

06:20 THE WITNESS: I never said YouTube was a

06:20 primary competitor.

06:20 I thought certain aspects of what YouTube did

06:20 was competitive to our platform particularly as a place

06:20 for people to submit and share video content.

06:20 BY MS. MAGUIRE:

06:20 Q. Okay.

06:20 Given that, that YouTube was a competitor of

06:20 Google Video --

06:20 MR. INGBER: Objection.

06:20 Hold on. That mischaracterizes the witness'

06:21 testimony.

06:21 BY MS. MAGUIRE:

06:21 Q. Was YouTube a competitor of Google Video?

06:21 A. I think I just answered that. There were
aspects of what they did that were competitive.